Walter F. Brown (SBN 130248)						
wbrown@paulweiss.com PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON	&-					
GARRISON LLP	· ·					
535 Mission Street, 24th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105						
Telephone: (628) 432-5100 Facsimile: (628) 232-3101						
, ,						
Karen L. Dunn (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) kdunn@paulweiss.com						
William A. Isaacson (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> wisaacson@paulweiss.com	e)					
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON	&					
GARRISON LLP 2001 K Street, NW						
Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 223-7300						
Facsimile: (202) 223-7420						
William Michael (admitted pro hac vice)						
wmichael@paulweiss.com Brette Tannenbaum (admitted pro hac vice) btannenbaum@paulweiss.com PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP						
			1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019			
			Telephone: (212) 373-3000 Facsimile: (212) 757-3990			
Attorneys for Defendant	NIT DIG					
WORLD WRESTLING ENTERTAINMED	NT, INC.					
LIMITED STA	TEC DISTRICT COURT					
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
MLW MEDIA LLC,	Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD					
Plaintiff,	ANSWER OF DEFENDANT WORLD					
	WRESTLING ENTERTAINMENT,					
V.	INC., TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT					
WORLD WRESTLING ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,						
El (l'Eltilli (l'IEl (l', li (C),						
Defendant.						

DEF.'S ANSWER CASE NO. 5:22-cv-179-EJD

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	0	
l	1	
l	2	
	3	
l	4	
l	5	
l	6	
l	7	
l	8	
	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	

Defendant World Wrestling Entertainment, Inc. ("WWE" or "Defendant") hereby submits the following answer and affirmative defenses (the "Answer") to Plaintiff MLW Media LLC's ("Plaintiff" or "MLW") First Amended Complaint ("FAC"). The paragraph numbers of WWE's responses set forth below correspond to the paragraph numbering of the FAC. The section headings are also reproduced accordingly, but any allegations of such headings are denied unless specifically admitted. Where not otherwise specified, abbreviations and definitions used below correspond to abbreviations and definitions used in the FAC. Pursuant to Rule 8(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, WWE hereby denies all allegations of the FAC except those specifically admitted below. WWE's investigation and discovery regarding the facts alleged in the FAC are ongoing, and WWE reserves the right to amend or supplement this Answer as may be necessary.

RESPONSES TO SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS

Preliminary Statement

- 1. To the extent that Paragraph 1 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 1.
- 2. To the extent that Paragraph 2 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 2.
- 3. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 3. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 3. WWE denies that Plaintiff has properly defined a "Relevant Market."
 - 4. WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 4.
- 5. To the extent that Paragraph 5 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 5.
- 6. To the extent that Paragraph 6 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 6.
- 7. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the first, sixth, and seventh sentences of Paragraph 7. WWE therefore denies

23

24

25

26

the allegations in the first, sixth, and seventh sentences of Paragraph 7. WWE denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 7.

- 8. WWE denies the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 8. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 8. WWE therefore denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 8.
- 9. WWE denies the allegations in the first and second sentences of Paragraph 9. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 9. WWE therefore denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 9.
- 10. To the extent that Paragraph 10 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 10.
- 11. To the extent that Paragraph 11 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 11. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 11. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 11.
- 12. To the extent that Paragraph 12 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 12.
- 13. To the extent that Paragraph 13 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 13.
- 14. To the extent that Paragraph 14 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 14.
- 15. To the extent that Paragraph 15 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 15.

PARTIES

- 16. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 16. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 16.
 - 17. WWE admits the allegations in Paragraph 17.

1 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE** 2 18. To the extent that Paragraph 18 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 3 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 18. 4 19. To the extent that Paragraph 19 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 5 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 19. 6 **BACKGROUND** 7 INTRODUCTION I. 8 20. To the extent that Paragraph 20 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 9 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 20. 10 21. To the extent that Paragraph 21 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 11 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 21. 12 22. To the extent that Paragraph 22 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 13 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 22. 14 23. To the extent that Paragraph 23 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 15 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 23. 16 II. THE PROFESSIONAL WRESTLING INDUSTRY 17 24. WWE admits that professional wrestling is produced by professional wrestling 18 promotion companies such as WWE and MLW, among others, and that professional wrestling is 19 sometimes referred to as a form of sports entertainment. 20 25. WWE admits that the outcomes of professional wrestling matches are commonly 21 predetermined and scripted. WWE denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 25. 22 26. WWE admits that throughout the 1990s, it was a major wrestling promotion 23 competing in the United States. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief 24 as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 26. WWE therefore denies the remaining 25 allegations in Paragraph 26. 26 27. To the extent that the first sentence of Paragraph 27 sets forth conclusions of law, 27 no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in the

35. To the extent that the first sentence of Paragraph 35 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 35. The allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 35 refer to and purport to interpret a document that speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 35.

A. <u>Structure of Professional Wrestling Media Rights Deals</u>

- 36. The allegations in Paragraph 36 refer to and purport to interpret WWE's 2021 SEC Form 10-K, which speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to its 2021 SEC Form 10-K for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 36.
- 37. WWE admits that it receives forms of revenue or payment pursuant to media rights agreements. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 37. WWE therefore denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 37.
- 38. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 38. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 38.
- 39. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 39. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 39.
 - 40. WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 40.

B. There is no Meaningful Substitute for Professional Wrestling Programming

41. To the extent that the first and second sentences of Paragraph 41 set forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in the first and second sentences of Paragraph 41. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 41 refer to and purport to interpret a document that speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 41.

- 42. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 42. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 42.
- 43. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 43. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 43.
- 44. The allegations of Paragraph 44 refer to and purport to interpret documents that speak for themselves. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited documents for their language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 44.
- 45. The allegations of Paragraph 45 refer to and purport to interpret documents that speak for themselves. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited documents for their language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 45.
- 46. The allegations of Paragraph 46 refer to and purport to interpret documents that speak for themselves. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited documents for their language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 46.
- 47. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations concerning boxing and MMA in Paragraph 47. WWE therefore denies those allegations in Paragraph 47. The allegations of the fourth sentence of Paragraph 47 refer to and purport to interpret a document that speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the fourth sentence of Paragraph 47.
- 48. To the extent that Paragraph 48 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 48. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations concerning live team and individual sports in Paragraph 48. WWE therefore denies those allegations in Paragraph 48.
- 49. WWE admits that professional wrestling has no off-season. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations concerning live team and individual sports in Paragraph 49. WWE therefore denies those allegations in

50. To the extent that Paragraph 50 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 50.

IV. WWE'S MONOPOLY POWER IN THE RELEVANT MARKET.

51. To the extent that Paragraph 51 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 51.

A. WWE Excludes Competitors and Charges Supracompetitive Prices

- 52. The allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 52 refer to and purport to interpret the contents of a document that speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 52. To the extent that the remaining allegations in Paragraph 52 set forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 52.
- 53. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the second and fourth sentences of Paragraph 53. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the second and fourth sentences of Paragraph 53. The allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 53 refer to and purport to interpret a document that speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 53. WWE denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 53.

- 54. To the extent that Paragraph 54 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 54.
- 55. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 55. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 55. WWE denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 55.
- 56. The allegations in the first, second, and third sentences of Paragraph 56 refer to and purport to interpret documents that speak for themselves. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited documents for their language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the first, second, and third sentences of Paragraph 56. To the extent that the fourth sentence of Paragraph 56 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in the fourth sentence of Paragraph 56.
- 57. To the extent that Paragraph 57 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 57. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 57. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 57.
- 58. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 58. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 58. WWE denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 58.

WWE Possesses a Dominant Market Share and Imposes and Exploits High В. **Barriers to Entry in the Relevant Market**

59. To the extent that Paragraph 59 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 59.

WWE Has a Dominant Share of the Relevant Market i.

60. To the extent that Paragraph 60 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 60. The allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 60 refer to and purport to interpret a document that

speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 60.

- 61. To the extent that Paragraph 61 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 61.
- 62. To the extent that the first sentence of Paragraph 62 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 62. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 62. WWE therefore denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 62.
- 63. To the extent that Paragraph 63 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 63.
- 64. WWE denies the first sentence of Paragraph 64. The allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 64 refer to and purport to interpret a document that speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 64.
- 65. To the extent that Paragraph 65 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 65. The allegations in the third and fourth sentences of Paragraph 65 refer to and purport to interpret a document that speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the third and fourth sentences of Paragraph 65.
 - i. WWE Exploits Barriers to Entry in the Relevant Market
 Through Anti-competitive Practices Designed to Raise
 Competitors' Long-Run Costs of Production And Maintain Its
 Dominance
- 66. To the extent that Paragraph 66 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 66.

4 5

6

8

9

7

10 11

13 14

12

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

(1) Substantial Foreclosure of The Relevant Market By Tying Up Key Media Partners

- 67. To the extent that Paragraph 67 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 67. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the third, fourth, and fifth sentences of Paragraph 67. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the third, fourth, and fifth sentences of Paragraph 67.
- 68. To the extent that Paragraph 68 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 68. The allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 68 refer to and purport to interpret a document that speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 68.
- 69. To the extent that Paragraph 69 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 69. The allegations in the fourth sentence of Paragraph 69 refer to and purport to interpret documents that speak for themselves. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited documents for their language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the fourth sentence of Paragraph 69.
- 70. WWE admits that it began operating a streaming platform, the WWE Network, beginning in 2014. Otherwise, to the extent that Paragraph 70 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 70. The allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 70 refer to and purport to interpret a document that speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 70.

- 71. WWE admits that it has an agreement with NBCUniversal's Peacock streaming platform. Otherwise, to the extent that Paragraph 71 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 71.
- 72. The allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 72 refer to and purport to interpret a document not cited in or attached to the FAC. As such, WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 72. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 72. Furthermore, the referenced document speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 72. Otherwise, to the extent that Paragraph 72 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 72.

(2) Restricting Access to and Raising Costs of Skilled Performers

- 73. To the extent that Paragraph 73 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 73.
- 74. The allegations in the third and fourth sentences of Paragraph 74 refer to and purport to interpret documents not cited in or attached to the FAC. As such, WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the third and fourth sentences of Paragraph 74. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the third and fourth sentences of Paragraph 74. Furthermore, the referenced documents speak for themselves. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited documents for their language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the third and fourth sentences of Paragraph 74. WWE denies remaining the allegations in Paragraph 74.
- 75. To the extent that Paragraph 75 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 75.

- 76. WWE admits that Stephon Strickland was a WWE wrestler from 2019 to 2022 and avers, on information and belief, that Strickland is now a wrestler for AEW. WWE otherwise denies the allegations in the first, second, and third sentences in Paragraph 76. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 76. WWE therefore denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 76.
 - 77. WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 77.
 - 78. WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 78.
- 79. The allegations in the second and third sentences of Paragraph 79 refer to and purport to interpret documents that speak for themselves. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited documents for their language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the second and third sentences of Paragraph 79. WWE denies the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 79.
- 80. The allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 80 refer to and purport to interpret a document that speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 80. To the extent that the remaining allegations in Paragraph 80 set forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 80.

(3) Cutting off Access to Arenas and Live Audiences

- 81. To the extent that Paragraph 81 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 81.
- 82. WWE denies the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 82. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 82. WWE therefore denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 82.
- 83. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 83. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 83. WWE denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 83.

1 В. WWE Interferes with MLW's Deal with Tubi 2 92. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of 3 the allegations in Paragraph 92. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 92. 4 93. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of 5 the allegations in Paragraph 93. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 93. 6 94. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of 7 the allegations in Paragraph 94. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 94. 8 95. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of 9 the allegations in Paragraph 95. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 95. 10 96. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 96. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 96. 11 97. 12 WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of 13 the allegations in Paragraph 97. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 97. 14 98. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of 15 the allegations in Paragraph 98. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 98. 16 99. WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 99. 17 100. WWE admits that, on or about August 9, 2021, Stephanie McMahon spoke with a 18 Tubi executive. WWE denies the allegations in the second, third, and fourth sentences of 19 Paragraph 100. The allegations in the fifth sentence of Paragraph 100 refer to and purport to 20 interpret a document not cited in or attached to the FAC. As such, WWE lacks knowledge or 21 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the fifth sentence of 22 Paragraph 100. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 100. 23 Furthermore, the referenced document speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the 24 full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations 25 in the fifth sentence of Paragraph 100. 26 27

- 101. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 101. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 101. WWE denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 101.
- 102. The allegations in Paragraph 102 refer to and purport to interpret a document that speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 102.

VI. WWE'S PREDATORY, ANTI-COMPETITIVE AND TORTIOUS CONDUCT HAS CAUSED HARM TO THE COMPETITIVE PROCESS, CONSUMERS AND MLW.

A. WWE's Anti-Competitive Conduct Has Caused Harm to Competition and Consumers

- 103. To the extent that Paragraph 103 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 103.
- 104. To the extent that Paragraph 104 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 104. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the fourth sentence of Paragraph 104. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the fourth sentence of Paragraph 104.
- 105. To the extent that Paragraph 105 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 105.

B. <u>WWE's Predatory, Anti-Competitive and Tortious Conduct Has Caused Antitrust Injury to MLW</u>

106. To the extent that the first and third sentences of Paragraph 106 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in the first and third sentences of Paragraph 106. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 106. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 106.

1 THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF 2 (Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage) 3 130. WWE incorporates by reference each response and denial set forth in the 4 foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. 5 131. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of 6 the allegations in Paragraph 131. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 131. 7 132. WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 132. 8 133. WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 133. 9 134. WWE denies the allegations in the first sentence in Paragraph 134. WWE lacks 10 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in 11 Paragraph 134. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 134. 12 To the extent that Paragraph 135 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 13 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 135. 14 136. To the extent that Paragraph 136 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 15 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 136. 16 FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF 17 (Intentional Interference with Contractual Relations) 18 137. WWE incorporates by reference each response and denial set forth in the 19 foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. 20 138. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of 21 the allegations in Paragraph 138. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 138. 22 139. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of 23 the allegations in Paragraph 139. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 139. 24 140. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of 25 the allegations in Paragraph 140. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 140. 26 141. WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 141. 27 28

1	142. To the extent that Paragraph 142 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is			
2	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 142.			
3	143. To the extent that Paragraph 143 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is			
4	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 143.			
5	FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
6	(Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200)			
7	144. WWE incorporates and realleges each response and denial set forth in the			
8	foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.			
9	145. WWE denies the allegations of Paragraph 145.			
10	146. To the extent that Paragraph 146 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is			
11	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 146.			
12	147. To the extent that Paragraph 147 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is			
13	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 147.			
14	DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL			
15	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38 and Northern District of California L.R. 3-			
16	6, WWE demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.			
17	PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
18	WWE denies that MLW is entitled to any of the requested relief and prays that MLW's			
19	Prayer for Relief be denied in its entirety. WWE further prays that judgment be entered for WWE			
20	and that the Court grant WWE such other and further relief as it deems just and appropriate:			
21	1. WWE denies that MLW is entitled to any of the requested relief and prays			
22	that MLW's Prayer for Relief be denied in its entirety. WWE further prays			
23	that judgment be entered for WWE and that the Court grant WWE such			
24	other and further relief as it deems just and appropriate.			
25	2. WWE denies that MLW is entitled to any of the requested relief and prays			
26	that MLW's Prayer for Relief be denied in its entirety. WWE further prays			
27				
28	- 20 -			
	1			

1	9. WWE denies that MLW is entitled to any of the requested relief and prays			
2	that MLW's Prayer for Relief be denied in its entirety. WWE further prays			
3	that judgment be entered for WWE and that the Court grant WWE such			
4	other and further relief as it deems just and appropriate.			
5	10. WWE denies that MLW is entitled to any of the requested relief and prays			
6	that MLW's Prayer for Relief be denied in its entirety. WWE further prays			
7	that judgment be entered for WWE and that the Court grant WWE such			
8	other and further relief as it deems just and appropriate.			
9	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES			
10	WWE asserts the following affirmative defenses on information and belief. In doing so,			
11	WWE does not assume any burden of proof, persuasion, or production on such defenses where			
12	such burden would otherwise fall on MLW. Additionally, WWE's affirmative defenses are			
13	asserted in the alternative, and none of them constitute an admission of liability or that MLW is			
14	entitled to any relief.			
15	First Defense			
16	The FAC fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.			
17	Second Defense			
18	MLW's claims are barred because MLW lacks antitrust injury or injury in fact.			
19	Third Defense			
20	MLW's equitable claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of unclean hands			
21	and in pari delicto.			
22	Fourth Defense			
23	MLW's equitable claims are barred, in whole or in part, based on the doctrines of			
24	estoppel, laches, and waiver, as MLW's claims are based, in part, on actions and events spanning			
25	decades.			
26				
27				
28	- 22 -			

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

10

1213

15

14

16

1718

1920

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

Fifth Defense

MLW's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because it does not have standing to raise those claims.

Sixth Defense

MLW's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because WWE's actions were authorized or permitted under state and/or federal law.

Seventh Defense

If and to the extent that MLW has been damaged, which WWE denies, MLW, by the exercise of reasonable diligence, could have mitigated its damages but did not and is therefore barred from recovery. Alternatively, any damages sustained by MLW, which WWE denies, must be reduced by the amount that such damages would have been reduced had MLW exercised reasonable diligence in mitigating its damages.

Eighth Defense

MLW's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because, to the extent that MLW suffered any injury or incurred any damages as alleged in the FAC, which WWE denies, WWE's alleged conduct was not the actual or proximate cause of any injury or damage to MLW.

Ninth Defense

MLW's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because, to the extent that MLW suffered any injury or incurred any damages as alleged in the FAC, which WWE denies, any such injury or damage was caused and brought about by the acts, conduct, or omissions of individuals or entities other than WWE, and, as such, any recovery herein should be precluded or diminished in proportion to the amount of fault attributable to such other individuals or entities.

Tenth Defense

MLW's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because, to the extent MLW suffered any injury or incurred any damages as alleged in the FAC, which WWE denies, any such injury or damage was caused and brought about by intervening or superseding events, factors, occurrences,

1 conditions, or acts of others, including forces in the marketplace, and not by the alleged wrongful 2 conduct on the part of WWE. 3 **Eleventh Defense** 4 MLW's equitable claims are barred, in whole or in part, because any recovery would 5 result in unjust enrichment to MLW. 6 **Twelfth Defense** 7 MLW's claims for equitable relief are barred because MLW has an adequate remedy at 8 law. 9 **Fourteenth Defense** 10 MLW's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because WWE had legitimate business 11 and/or economic justifications for the conduct at issue. 12 **Reservation of Rights** 13 WWE reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses as they become 14 available. WWE has insufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a basis as to 15 whether it may have additional, as yet unstated, separate defenses available. WWE reserves the 16 right to amend this Answer to add, supplement, or modify defenses based on legal theories that 17 may be or will be divulged through clarification, through discovery, or through further factual or 18 legal analysis of MLW's allegations, contentions, and positions in this litigation. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 - 24 -

Case 5:22-cv-00179-EJD Document 98 Filed 08/14/23 Page 25 of 25

1	Dated: August 14, 2023	Respectfully submitted,
2		PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
3		
4		By: /s/ William Michael
5		Walter F. Brown (SBN 130248)
6		wbrown@paulweiss.com Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton &
7		Garrison LLP 535 Mission Street, 24th Floor
8		San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (628) 432-5100
9		Facsimile: (628) 232-3101
10		Karen L. Dunn (pro hac vice) kdunn@paulweiss.com
11		William A. Isaacson (<i>pro hac vice</i>) wisaacson@paulweiss.com
12		Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP
13		2001 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006
14		Telephone: (202) 223-7300 Facsimile: (202) 223-7420
15		William Michael (pro hac vice)
16 17		wmichael@paulweiss.com Brette M. Tannenbaum (<i>pro hac vice</i>) btannenbaum@paulweiss.com
18		Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP
19		1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019
20		Telephone: (212) 373-3000 Facsimile: (212) 757-3990
21		(===) / • • • • • • •
22		Attorneys for Defendant World Wrestling Entertainment, Inc.
23		G ,
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	- 25 - Def.'s Answer	
		O. 5:22-cv-179-EJD

CASE No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD